

WML Information Literacy Instruction Assessment 2017-18
Classroom Activity Report – Program-wide
Prepared by Donna Witek, Information Literacy Coordinator

Faculty Librarian s:

Kelly Banyas, Kevin Norris, and Donna Witek

Semester: Spring 2018

Course Number and Name: INTD 112: EP Foundation (EP)

Course Instructors (Last Name): Mikesell, Fisher, and DeSantis

Date(s) of Guest Information Literacy Instruction:

Session 1: Week of 02/19/2018 and Session 2: Week of 02/27/2018*

*6 information literacy class sessions delivered to 3 sections across two weeks

Time(s) of Guest Information Literacy Instruction:

Various instruction took place in both 50-minute and 75-minute class sessions

Locations: LSC 114 and LSC 401

Number of Students Registered in Course: 53 students total across 3 sections

Summary of research assignment or task

Changes to wider components of information literacy module from Fall 2017 in bold.

In Spring 2018 this information literacy module consisted of the following:

- x Librarian visits class on Day 1 of semester to introduce oneself and briefly explain librarian's role in the course (10 minutes)
- x Librarian teaches two information literacy classes sessions, 1.5 weeks apart from each other, which involve a shared lesson plan and Library Assignment (i.e., student homework) across all sections
- x Between the two information literacy class sessions, librarians grade/assess student submissions of Library Assignment using a shared rubric, and share these scores and feedback individually with the students and for the entire class with the course instructor
- x Students participate in a "Golden Ticket" research consultation with a librarian in support of their Capstone projects (these meetings are usually 30-45 minutes)

- o New in Spring 2018:

Session 1:

1.A: Successful completion of Qs 8-15 of Library Assignment completed as homework.

1.B: Successful completion of Qs 16-23, and Qs 25-31 of Library Assignment completed as homework.

1.C: Successful completion of Q 16, Q 24, and Q 32 of Library Assignment completed as homework.

Session 2:

2.A: Observation of database teaching activity

2.B: Observation of database teaching activity

2.C: Broad feedback given on homework assignment; feedback provided by librarians in comments of their homework submissions (optional for librarians)

Based on your experience teaching this session and any assessment of student work you were able to do, what can you change next time to improve how you teach it? Or, what was successful that you want to be sure to do again the next time you teach it?

CLOSING THE LOOP — Results of changes from Fall 2017:

- x Removing the database CQ Researcher Plus Archive from the Library Assignment.

Result This change had a positive impact on delivering the module because it streamlined the module content (tools, resources, and techniques) so better align with the student learning outcomes for this module. It took the pressure off of the librarians to spend time explaining what this resource is and isn't. Sharing this resource on a case by case basis in the "Golden Ticket" research consultations seemed to work well, based on anecdotal feedback from the six librarians who participated in the "Golden Ticket

applied the framework depicted on the handout while writing the Library Assignment, and also allowed for white space on the page under each question so they could brainstorm their evaluation work by hand on the handout.

We will retain this change – i.e., no more than three bullet questions under each W – into the future; the questions themselves, however, we will refine over time based on further assessment. CLOSING THE LOOP below for changes we plan to make to the questions on the handout.

- x Changing the format of the Library Assignment questions that asked for citation elements for three possible sources on students' topics, from a response question format to separate fields for each citation element.

Result This change had a positive impact on the demonstrated learning module as

54([a2h2t.-6(ed-2 8(45)Bn42(ing/0s)T1(a(45)B24(2)ab(6)D24(2)(5))Rw(2)H(2)(0(4)19(4)44(2)52(0).

x to a model where students reach out to an assigned librarian to make This change had a posi

Comparison to Fall 2017:

The number of students this ~~data~~ represents is lower than in Fall 2017 – down from 65 students in Fall 2017 to 53 students in Spring 2018 – in part because fewer sections of the course ran.

In Spring 2018 the highest score was lower than in Fall 2017 – 100% in Fall 2017 versus 97.73% in Spring 2018.

However, in Spring 2018 the lowest score was higher than in Fall 2017 – 63.16% in Fall 2017 versus 71.59% in Spring 2018.

These two findings taken ~~together~~ indicate that changing the question format for the questions asking students to gather citation elements had the positive impact of removing some subjectivity in the grading/assessing work of the librarians, since those questions no longer ~~relied on~~ a with criteria that librarians were possibly applying differently, and instead were now either correct or incorrect—the absence of any 100% grades in Spring 2018 is evidence of this finding.

See CLOSING THE LOOP below for ways in which we will ~~use~~ this module to eliminate further the ambiguity involved in applying a rubric to student work designed to demonstrate their research abilities.

Golden Tickets

The Information Literacy Program Master Schedule reflects that six Research & Instruction Librarians met with 47 students for “Golden Ticket” research consultations in Spring 2018.

Students handed in their completed “Golden Ticket” slips to their course instructors, after which the instructors were requested to send the completed slips to the Information Literacy Coordinator through campus mail.

In Spring 2018 unfortunately none of the course instructors sent completed “Golden Ticket” slips to the Information Literacy Coordinator so there is no direct ~~student~~ data to report from this data source.

Final Exam

The Library has five questions on the final exam in INTD 112 that we use to assess content knowledge related to the research process.

In Spring 2018 as a result of eliminating CQ Researcher Plus Archive from the Library Assignment, the final exam question focusing on this resource needed to be replaced. CLOSING THE LOOP from feedback received from a librarian in Fall 2017, we decided to have the new final exam question assess students’ knowledge of the “Evaluating Sources with the Five Ws” framework for source evaluation. The new questions ~~read~~ follows:

Which of the Five Ws of Source Evaluation asks you to find out and weigh the credentials and expertise of the author(s) of the source?
--

a. WHO created the source? **

b. WHAT is the purpose of the source?

Question: Please share any other comments or feedback about this INTD 112 IL module. In what ways can we make this module better and more sustainable for us as Research & Instruction Librarians?

One librarian shared an idea that we should include a more formal way to assess engagement with the Five

- x We will not visit the section and introduce ourselves on the first day of the semester.
 - o Although there was some positive feedback in the Librarian Feedback Survey

SLO3: Students will identify the appropriate level of scholarship among publication types (scholarly journals, trade publications, magazines, websites, etc.) in order to critically evaluate the usefulness of the information for their research need.

SLO4: Students will articulate the key elements in their research questions in order to develop and execute a search strategy.

SLO6: Students will properly distinguish between their own ideas and the intellectual property of others in order to ethically use information and demonstrate academic integrity.

Information Literacy Instruction Planning Template

Course: INTD 112: EP Foundations

Date/Time of IL Session: Week of Feb 19, 2018

Summary of research assignment or task

Include here any background information provided by the course instructor in their request, as well as any resources you want to remember to teach students how to use.

Session 1 of 2; second session is 1.5 weeks later – at the end of Session 1, students are assigned a Library Assignment we (the faculty librarians) designed called Searching as Strategic Exploration; the assignment is delivered and graded/assessed by the librarians as a D2L quiz

In this Session 1 we are introducing strategically exploring their topics via database searching in preparation for their Capstone Projects; the bigger presentation for the project is an Informative Presentation later in the semester, during which they will need to (verbally) cite published evidence about their topics and create a portfolio of potential sources to use in the presentation

Information Literacy Instruction Planning Template

Course: INTD 112: EP Foundations Date/Time of IL Session: Week of Feb 19, 2018

Student Learning Outcomes for the IL Session (at least one, no more than three)

These are statements that you write for yourself that describe what students will be able to do, practice, know, understand, or value, as a direct result of your teaching. You can begin each statement with "As a result of this IL session, students will..." and then complete the statement with the outcome you are aiming for. Think of outcomes as your aspirations for your students: What do you hope they will learn through your teaching?

1. As a result of this IL session [and the Library Assignment they will do as homework], students

Information Literacy Instruction Planning Template

Course: INTD 112: EP Foundations

Date/Time of IL Session: Week of Feb 26, 2018

Database teaching activity:

- x Should take the bulk of the class meeting (30-40 minutes total)
- x (5 mins) Start by breaking students into groups based on which database they chose to find the three sources from in the assignment.
 - o There will hopefully be at least one group per database.
 - o If a student found sources from more than one of the databases, assign them to a group with the goal of making the groups evenly distributed.
 - o If a group is more than five students, break them into two smaller groups.
 - o If no students in your section chose one of the databases, be prepared to go over that database yourself at the end of the activity.
- x (5 mins) Instruct students in each group to tell their group-mates the most useful things they encountered about the database. Give them five minutes to discuss this in their groups.
 - o Someone should take notes on the various database tools and functions they found useful, and the group should prepare to come to the instructor terminal and teach their classmates what they liked about this database. (The notes are just so they students organize what they want to cover when they come up.)
 - o Every student should plan on showing at least one thing, and they can run sample searches using their topics to illustrate what they are teaching.
 - o Alleviate the concern that these presentations need to be perfect: they do not, and you (the librarian) aren't grading them on this. It's just an opportunity to share about the database with their classmates who didn't get to explore that database in detail.
 - o By the end of the class, everyone in the room will know the most useful things about each database, setting them up to go and continue their research on their topics using both of them.
- x (20-30 minutes) Each group takes turns coming to the instructor terminal to "teach" their database.
 - o Groups should present no longer than 5-7 minutes each
 - o Librarian should pay attention to what students are sharing, and take note of any important and useful tools and functionality the students leave out (or get incorrect, if applicable)

Information Literacy Instruction Planning Template

Course: INTD 112: EP Foundations Date/Time of IL Session: Week of Feb 26, 2018

- o After group finishes presenting, Librarian thanks them, they return to seats, and Librarian fills in any gaps the students missed about that database—if there is more than one group for a database, let all groups for that database go before filling in the gaps
- o Then next group is called up
- o If there is more than one group doing the same database, then the second group that goes can either focus on the things the first group didn't share, or, show their own examples of those same things using their own topics
- o Be mindful of the time during this part of the activity, so every group has a chance to go and you the Librarian have the chance to share what the groups left out about the databases

Broad feedback on homework submissions:

- x As you grade/assess their Library Assignment homework submissions, keep a log for yourself of issues you see in their work that you feel would be useful for you to address with the class as a whole. This feedback should be general and anonymous, not mentioning any specific student or submission by name/topic.
- x Also ask the students if they have any questions about the databases or the research process as a result of doing the exercise, then try to address them.

Golden Ticket introduction (entire section revised from Fall 2017):

- x **HANDOUT Golden Ticket slips** (available in Donna's office) and

Information Literacy Instruction Planning Template

Course: INTD 112: EP Foundations Date/Time of IL Session: Week of Feb 26, 2018

Student Learning Outcomes for the IL Session (at least one, no more than three)

These are statements that you write for yourself that describe what students will be able to do, practice, know, understand, or value, as a direct result of your teaching. You can begin each statement with "As a result of this IL session, students will..." and then complete the statement with the outcome you are aiming for. Think of outcomes as your aspirations for your students: What do you hope they will learn through your teaching?

1. As a result of this IL session, students will demonstrate their understanding of database searching for information about their Capstone topics.
2. As a result of this IL session, students will articulate their own understanding of the search process.
3. As a result of this IL session, students will receive timely feedback on their work of developing search strategies and applying evaluative criteria to information about their topics. [Note: Not technically a learning outcome but more of an instructional outcome]

Information Literacy Instruction Planning Template

Course: INTD 112: EP Foundations

Date/Time of IL Session: Week of Feb 26, 2018

Draft outline of how you will use the time

Here you can sketch your notes for what you plan to do and say as you teach the session. Include here steps for any active learning opportunities you plan to facilitate for the students.

See first section of template for details on this. Use space below to adapt the outline to your needs.

AFTER THE SESSION:

Based on your experience teaching this session and any assessment of student work you were able to do, what can you change next time to improve how you teach it? Or, what was successful that you want to be sure to do again the next time you teach it?

If you do not have access to student work that provides evidence of their information literacy student learning, here you can brainstorm ideas for activities and assignments you could design in the future in collaboration with the course instructor that would provide you with evidence of their learning.

,QWRGXFMRQ

7KH SXUSRVH RI WKLV /LEUDU\ \$VVLJQPHQW LV WR RIIHU \RX WKH RSSRUWXQLW\

&RQGXFWD 6HDUFK

6HOHFW RQH RI WKH GDWDEDVHV²\$FDGHPLF 6HDUFK (OLWH (%6&2 RU 3UR4XHVW IRU LQIRUPDWLRQ DERXW \RXU &DSVWRQH WRSLF

4 XHMMRQ 0 DQGDMRU SRLQW

'DWDEDVH QDPH

4 XHMMRQ 0 DQGDMRU SRLQW

6HDUFK WHUPV \RX XVHG WR FRQGXFW \RXU LQLWLDO VHDFK 7LS ,I \RXU ILUVW DWWHPSWHG VHDFK EULQJV EDFN QR UHVXOWV WU\ EURDGH

5 HYLH<RXU6HDUFK

2EVHUYH DQG UHIOHFW RQ WKH UHVXOWV RI \RXU VHDFK <RX ZLOO QHHG WR F WKH UHVXOWV²ERWK WKH DUWLFOH UHFRUG DQG WKH IXOO WH[W RI WKH DUWLFO

:KDW QHZ WHUPV DQG FRQFHSWV GR \RX VHH UHSHDWHGO\ DVVRFLDWHG ZLWK \R NQRZOHGJH WR UHYLVH \RXU VHDFK"

4 XHMMRQ 0 DQGDMRU SRLQW

1HZ WHUPV DQG FRQFHSWV , HQFRXQWHUHG DQG KRZ , FRXOG UHYLVH P\ VHDFK

6HDFWQJ 6RXUFHV

5HSHDW WKH VHDFK SURFHVV DJDLQ DQG DV PDQ\ WLPHV DV QHHGHG UHYLVLQ WKUHH SRVVLEOH LQIRUPDWLRQ VRXUFHV IRU \RXU &DSVWRQH 3URMHFW

8VLQJ WKH ³)LYH :V' DSSURDFK WR VRXUFH HYDOXDWLRQ FULWLFDOO\ HYDOXDW IRU HDFK VRXUFH

&RQLQXH RQ WR WKH 1H[W 3DJH WR VXEPLW FLWDWLRQ DQG HYDOXDWLRQ LQIR

6HDFWJ 6RXUFHV 6285&(

6285&(

*DWKHU DQG VKDUH WKH IROORZUQLLQWRUPRØWFLHQ DERXW

4 XHMRQ

6HDFWQJ 6RXUFHV 6285&(

6285&(

*DWKHU DQG VKDUH WKH IROORZUQWHERIQRUPDWURF DERXW

4 XHMMRQ 0 DQGDVRIU SRLQW

\$XWKRU V QDPH V

4 XHMMRQ 0 DQGDVRIU SRLQW

\$UWLFOH WLWOH

4 XHMMRQ 0 DQGDVRIU SRLQW

3XEOLFDWLRQ WLWOH

4 XHMMRQ 0 DQGDVRIU SRLQW

'DWH SXEOLVKHG

4 XHMMRQ SRLQW

9ROXPH DQG RU ,VVXH QXPEHU LI DSSOLFDEOH

4 XHMMRQ 0 DQGDVRIU SRLQW

3DJH UDQJH LI \RX FDQQRW ILQG DQ\ SDJH QXPEHU\ VKDUH ZKHUH \RX ORRNHG

4 XHMMRQ 0 DQGDVRIU SRLQW

6RXUFH W\SH L H VFKRODUO\ DFDGHPLF MRXUQDO QHZVSDSHU PDJDJLQH WU

4 XHMMRQ 0 DQGDVRIU SRLQW

6285&(

&ULWLFDOORXU DQGLVWDEVRXUFIHQJ DQGLVWDEVRXUFIHQJ TXHVWLRQV DERXW

- " :+2 FUHDWHG WKH VRXUFH"
- " :+\$7 LV WKH SXUSRVH RI WKH VRXUFH"
- " :+(5(GRHV WKH LQIRUPDWLRQ FRPH IURP"
- " :+(1 ZDV WKH VRXUFH/SXEOLVKHG"

6HDFWQJ 6RXUFHV 6285&(

6285&(

*DWKHU DQG VKDUH WKH IROORZUQW KLQJRWFRXWIERQ DERXW

4 XHMMRQ 0 DQGDVRLU SRLQW

\$XWKRU V QDPH V

4 XHMMRQ 0 DQGDVRLU SRLQW

\$UWLFOH WLWOH

4 XHMMRQ 0 DQGDVRLU SRLQW

3XEOLFDWLRQ WLWOH

4 XHMMRQ 0 DQGDVRLU SRLQW

'DWH SXEOLVKHG

4 XHMMRQ SRLQW

9ROXPH DQG RU ,VVXH QXPEHU LI DSSOLFDEOH

4 XHMMRQ 0 DQGDVRLU SRLQW

3DJH UDQJH LI \RX FDQQRW ILQG DQ\ SDJH QXPEHU\ VKDUH ZKHUH \RX ORRNHG

4 XHMMRQ 0 DQGDVRLU SRLQW

6RXUFH W\SH L H VFKRODUO\ DFDGHPLF MRXUQDO QHZVSDSHU PDJDJLQH WU

4 XHMMRQ 0 DQGDVRLU SRLQW

6285&(

&ULWLFDOORXU DQGLQJWFRXWIERQ DQGLQJWFRXWIERQ ROORZLQJ TXHVWLRQV DERXW W

- " :+2 FUHDWHG WKH VRXUFH"
- " :+\$7 LV WKH SXUSRVH RI WKH VRXUFH"
- " :+(5(GRHV WKH LQIRUPDWLRQ FRPH IURP"
- " :+(1 ZDV WKH VRXUFH SXEOLVKHG"
- " :+< LV WKLV VRXUFH XVHIXO WR \RX"

5HIHU W(R DQGLQJWFRXWIERQ 6RXUFHV ZLWK WIRHU\WKH NLQJWFRXWIERQ WWDLOV \RX VKRXOG L

6 HDUFKLQJ DV 6 WDMU LF ([SORUMRQ/ LEUDU \$ VWJQP HQW

* UDGLQJ 5 XEUF

7 KH SXUSRVH RI WKL V DV VGH R R Q W U L D W I R W K D X V W R X K D Y H V W
H [S O R U H G \ R X U W R S L F W K U R X J K W K H V H D U F K S U R F H V V

4 V	SRLQMSHU4 IRUF RP SOMQJ HDFK 4
-----	--------------------------------

/ HDUQLQJ 2 XWFRPH * DWKHU & LWDWLRQ , QIRUPDWLRQ 4 V

& ULWHULR 6 XFFHV V	OHHWV & ULWHU	5 RRP WR	& RQFHUG	1 \$
<p>* DMKLUV FRP SOMM DQG DFFXUDM FLVDMRQ LQIRUP DMRQ LQFOXGLQJ VRXUFH WSH</p> <p>& LDMRQ (OP HQW \$ XWRUV QDP HV \$ UNFOI VMDI ‡ XE OFDMRQ VMDI ‡ DIM SXEQ KHG LQFO HDU ‡ 9 RXP H DQG RU, WXH QXP EHU IL DSS OFDEGI ‡ 3 DJH UDQJH RU GHMFUSMRQ RI ZKHUH VH BRNHG ‡ 6 RXUFH WSH LH VFKRDU DFDGHP IF VFXLQDO QHZ VSDSHU P DJ DJ IGH WDGH VFXLQDOSXE OFDMRQ UHSRUWH</p>	<p>SRLQW</p> <p>\$ DMHYHQ FLVDMRQ HOP HQW LQFOXGLQJ VRXUFH WSH DFFXUDM LQ VWGHQV/ UHSRQMHV</p> <p>, I 9 RXP H DQG RU , WXH QXP EHU V QRV DSS OFDEGI V VRXUFH SRLQV Z LO EH DZ DUGHG IL DQVZ HUGI VEDQN</p>	<p>SRLQW</p> <p>2 GH VR WUHH FLVDMRQ HOP HQW DQG RUVRXUFH WSH LQ DFFXUDM LQ VWGHQV/ UHSRQMHV</p>	<p>RXUVR VI @LV 0</p>	

Evaluating Sources with the Five Ws

Often finding information is less of a problem than figuring out whether that information will be appropriate for your project.

One way to decide whether a source is “good” for your project or not is to begin by asking some questions about the source.

Remember! Evaluation is a holistic process . One of these questions isn’t enough to determine a source’s usefulness. You need to take them all into account

WHO created the source?

- b What expertise does the author/organization have to present on this topic?

- b What are their credentials? How are they connected to the field they are writing about?

- b Are they affiliated with any specific organizations? Which ones? Could this impact their reliability?

WHAT is the purpose of the source?

- L What is the source saying about your topic? What points or argument is it making?

- L What type of source is the article published in? Scholarly/academic journal? Newspaper? Magazine? Trade journal/publication? Report? Something else?

- L Who is the intended audience?

WHERE does the information come from?

- à How does the source use evidence to support its claims?

- à Are there any references? If so, are they appropriate to the topic and source?

- à Is the source presenting fact or opinion? How can you tell?

WHEN was the source published?

- ^ Does your topic require very recent information, or will older sources be acceptable or even preferred?

- ^ Is a date given for when the information was published?

- ^ Are there any historical events connected to your topic? When was the source published in relation to those events?

WHY is this source useful to you?

- " Is the information at an appropriate level for your needs (i.e. not too simplistic/not too advanced)?

- " Does the information help to answer your research question or develop your argument?

- " How does this information inform your research? How will you use this information in your project?

,17' * RCHQ7LFNH\$FMYLW

5 HDG WHMH LQWKFVRQV LQIXO

) RUMV DMLJQP HQM RX DUH UHTXUHGVR P DNH DQDSSRLOF HQWRUD P LQXW UHM-DUFK FRQVXOMRQ
ZLVK D) DFXOM / IEUDUDQZ KLFK Z LQDNH SOFH EHVZHQ> \$7(@CG> \$7(@7KH SXLSRVH RI WLV
UHM-DUFK FRQVXOMRQ LV RZ RUN RQH RQ RQH ZLVK D / IEUDUDQ RQ\ RXU UHM-DUFK IRU RXU&DSWRCH
SURVFW * XU

<RXU/ IEUDUDQ LV >16(57 1\$0(\$1' (0\$, / \$' ' 5(66@

) RCHZ DORI WHMH LQWKFVRQV LQRUHQ „(- H

678'(17 /(\$51,1* 287&20(6)25 7+(6(&2168/7\$7,216

\$V D UHVXOW RI WKHLU 3*ROGHQ 7LFNHW' UHVHDFK FRQVXC
.QRZ WKDW /LEUDU\ 'DWDEDVHV DUH WKH EHVW SODFH W
8QGHUVWDQG WKDW E\ DGGLQJ PRUH VHDUFK WHUPV WR
UHVXOWV
%HFRPH DZDUH RI WKH GLIIHUHQW ZD\V WR JDWKHU FLW
WKH 'DWDEDVHV
| (PDLQLQJ DQ DUWLFOH WR WKHPVHOYHV XVLQJ WKH
|